UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,527	03/30/2004	Alpem Robert	RLY 04021.101 6886	
58415 SENNIGER PO	7590 12/14/2007 DWERS (ILPS)	EXAMINER		
ONE METROI	POLITAN SQUARE	LEVY, NEIL S		
	16TH FLOOR ST. LOUIS, MO 63102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
Office Action Commence			Applicant(s)			
		10/814,527	ROBERT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		NEIL LEVY	1615			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No.	ovember 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-16,36-51,60 and 61</u> is/are pendida) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,5-11 and 45-51</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,12-16,36-44,60 and 61</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-16,36-51,60 and 61</u> are subject	re withdrawn from considerationed.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/07</u> .	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

10/814,527 Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 3,5-11, & 45-51 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, species of acid resin, Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/2/07

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims1,12-16,36-38,41,42,44,60.61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over MARTANI EP 0349453

The EP search report shows Martani to be an X reference; with a laxative, glycerin (examples 2,3) with acrylic acid & polystyrene sulfonate acid resins, for oral administration to patients in pain, regardless of their disease(p.3, lines 9-18). Since these dosages are oral, they would remove Na as they pass through the G.I. tract, since these are the instant polymers.

Claims 1,12,36-44 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim30,31,40,45 of copending Application No. 10/965274. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Since the same resins are administered, the same effects would result, cation, including Na, binding..

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

10/814,527 Art Unit: 1615

Claims 1,12-14,36-43,60,61 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim43-49,52-59,61-64 of copending Application No. 11/096209. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Since the same resins are administered, the same effects would result, cation, including Na, binding...

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Applicant's arguments filed 11/05/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The administration of the same polymers in the same manner, oral, to the patient with the same syndrome, would result in the same effects as are instantly claimed, as K binding would also permit of Na binding. There is no claimed elements that would preclude Na binding, or, in the instant, K binding- the polymers as claimed are the same.

The elected species is an acid resin; if the forms as in claim 16 are specific to the Na binding, or if some other element specific to Na binding is required, it should be in the independent claim.

The Dadley reference, as argued by applicant, if for drug delivery, & absorbed,; the rejection withdrawn.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number:

10/814,527

Art Unit: 1615

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**Primary Examiner**